

(1) QUESTION ON NOTICE

The Committee asked Assistant Commissioner Codd:

From the reported offences against the person over the last year (26.1 per cent), would you have the statistics attributed towards police officers?

ANSWER:

Reported Victims of Assault on Police,⁽¹⁾ Gold Coast Police District, 2015/2016⁽²⁾

Assault occasioning bodily harm	Assault police (PPRA ⁽³⁾)	Assault serious (other ⁽⁴⁾)	Wounding	TOTAL
16	88	59	1	164

1. Assaults on police refer to incidents where a police officer is assaulted whilst on duty.
2. Data obtained from the Queensland Police Service 'Annual Statistical Review 2015/16' page 41.
3. *Police Powers and Responsibilities Act 2000* (Qld).
4. Section 340 of the Criminal Code (Qld).

(2) QUESTION ON NOTICE

The Committee asked Assistant Commissioner Codd:

Can you provide a breakdown of the 10 year drug offences on the Gold Coast?

ANSWER:

Number of drug related offences,⁽¹⁾ Gold Coast Police District, 01/07/2006 to 30/06/2016⁽²⁾

Offence Type	Drug Offences	Trafficking Drugs	Possess Drugs	Produce Drugs	Sell Supply Drugs	Other Drug Offences
2006/07	4,068	32	2,035	95	197	1,709
2007/08	4,092	31	2,073	81	149	1,758
2008/09	4,715	10	2,498	119	203	1,885
2009/10	5,196	49	2,689	142	189	2,127
2010/11	5,204	32	2,650	125	151	2,246
2011/12	6,444	75	3,040	176	422	2,731

Queensland Police Service
Responses to Questions on Notice taken on 4 October 2016 at Gold Coast
Legal Affairs and Community Safety Committee
Serious and Organised Crime Legislation Amendment Bill 2016

2012/13	6,469	158	3,162	137	168	2,844
2013/14	7,523	39	3,772	140	189	3,383
2014/15	10,551	57	4,994	166	644	4,690
2015/16 ⁽²⁾	9,922	60	4,853	113	285	4,611

1. This breakdown includes year and offence type but could not capture drug type.
2. Data obtained from QPS Statistical Services on 10 October 2016. This data is preliminary and may be subject to change.

(3) QUESTION ON NOTICE

The Committee asked Assistant Commissioner Codd:

In the last two years were there any complaints from any members of the public in respect of being pulled over by police and questioned or interviewed in respect of a likelihood of having some involvement under the current VLAD laws?

ANSWER:

No official complaints were made in relation to the Gold Coast District with regards to being pulled over by police and questioned or interviewed in respect of a likelihood of having some involvement under the current VLAD laws.

(4) QUESTION ON NOTICE

The Committee asked Assistant Commissioner Codd:

Would you be able to provide the Committee with a breakdown of extortion offences by year (10 year)?

ANSWER:

Number of extortion offences, Gold Coast Police District, 01/07/2006 to 30/06/2016

Offence Type	06/07	07/08	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/016	14/15 to 15/16 number change	5 year number change
Extortion: Demand property, benefit or service, with	10	12	9	15	14	6	16	21	17	12	5	6

Queensland Police Service
Responses to Questions on Notice taken on 4 October 2016 at Gold Coast
Legal Affairs and Community Safety Committee
Serious and Organised Crime Legislation Amendment Bill 2016

threats, with intent to extort												
Other extortion (not elsewhere classified)	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-

1. Data obtained from QPS Statistical Services on 7 October 2016. This data is preliminary and may be subject to change.

(5) QUESTION ON NOTICE

The Committee asked Assistant Commissioner Codd:

Do you have any figures (statistical data) about child exploitation in the area?

ANSWER:

Number of child exploitation offences, Gold Coast Police District, 01/07/2006 to 30/06/2016⁽¹⁾

Offence Type	06/07 ⁽²⁾	07/08	08/09	09/10	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	14/15	15/016	14/15 to 15/16 number change	5 year number change
Involving child in making child exploitation material ⁽³⁾	-	-	-	-	1	2	2	1	3	3	-	1
Making child exploitation material ⁽⁴⁾	-	1	17	3	10	6	22	41	48	62	14	56
Distributing child exploitation material ⁽⁵⁾	10	2	2	4	5	5	31	28	52	66	14	61
Possessing child exploitation material ⁽⁶⁾	2	35	33	36	15	23	31	41	64	71	7	48

1. Data obtained from QPS Statistical Services on 7 October 2016. This data is preliminary and may be subject to change.
2. Recording of child exploitation offences was updated in 2007. Because of this 2006/2007 have low numbers and should not be used for calculating a 10 year comparison. A 5 year comparison has been included instead.
3. Section 228A (Involving child in making child exploitation material) of the Criminal Code (Qld).
4. Section 228B (Making child exploitation material) of the Criminal Code (Qld).
5. Section 228C (Distributing child exploitation material) of the Criminal Code (Qld).
6. Section 228D (Possessing child exploitation material) of the Criminal Code (Qld).

(6) QUESTION ON NOTICE

The Committee asked Assistant Commissioner Codd:

How is the iPad roll out progressing for front line police on the Gold Coast?

ANSWER:

As of mid-September there are 365 iPads on issue to officers within the Gold Coast Police District.

With regards to the State-wide rollout, it is progressing to plan with approximately 5000 iPads deployed and in use by frontline officers. The devices have been deployed exclusively to frontline officers. The current program has funding to provide 5400 devices to frontline officers. A business case is being produced that will request a further 2100 devices so that all frontline officers will have access to a device.

The final 400 devices of the initial State-wide phase are planned to be deployed to frontline police officers prior to Christmas.

(7) QUESTION ON NOTICE

The Committee asked the QPS:

What is being done to address the issue of recruiting our youth into OMCG feeder clubs?

ANSWER:

The QPS is aware of the constant recruitment that OMCGs have to attract prospective members who are typically young males. The QPS deals with this issue by proactively using all the relevant legislation with supporting police powers to reduce the overt presence of OMCGs.

An overt OMCG gang presence invokes a sense of gang ownership or territory over a place or area, which amongst other things provides a perception of power and status that attracts prospective members who are typically young males. Prohibiting an overt gang presence helps reduce negative perceptions of public safety, and undermines the objective of enhancing perceptions of gang power and status. This in turn reduces the likelihood of inter-gang conflict and reduces the opportunity and motivations leading to recruitment.