

Mark Bailey

7/3/18

From: Peter Simpson (ETU) <simmo@etu.org.au> on behalf of Peter Simpson (ETU)
Sent: Friday, 16 September 2016 12:57 PM
To: mangocube6@yahoo.co.uk; [redacted] Schedule 4 CTPI
[redacted] Schedule 4 CTPI [redacted] Shane King
Cc: Stuart Traill
Subject: RE: Stanwell Use of Contractors

Meant to add, to stop this continually occurring, we need a centralised IR team, for the whole industry, that could: -

1. Assist in developing the respective frameworks for EBA negotiations;
2. Develop and Administer issues such as use of contractors on behalf of all industry GOC's
3. Have common IR/HR practices
4. Save us taxpayers literally hundreds of thousands of dollars per year by having a contract with ONE law firm that does all the high end stuff, at the moment they all have their little favourites and all expend huge amounts on working up ways to screw us over, it'd be much more cost effective to have one law firm trying to screw us than a cabal of big end firms on the job. I'd imagine you'd get a much better price for a whole of industry contract. Any issues that would cause grief in respect to competition policy etc could be done by the individual corporation.

Not talking an entire department, when [redacted] Schedule 4 CTPI was the head of IR for OGOC him and [redacted] Schedule 4 CTPI pretty much managed the entire industry and saved us all some grief.

I put this proposal in our original brief to the incoming Govt, suggest that after this it'd be a good time to revisit that?

Simmo

From: Peter Simpson (ETU)
Sent: Friday, 16 September 2016 12:36 PM
To: 'mangocube6@yahoo.co.uk' <mangocube6@yahoo.co.uk>; [redacted] Schedule 4 CTPI
[redacted] Schedule 4 CTPI [redacted] Shane King
<shane@etu.org.au>
Subject: FW: Stanwell Use of Contractors

Sorted, thanks guys

Cheers


Simmo

From: Peter Simpson (ETU)
Sent: Friday, 16 September 2016 12:35 PM
To: 'Van Breda, Richard' <Richard.VanBreda@stanwell.com>
Subject: RE: Stanwell Use of Contractors

Thanks Richard, yes, Jason reported a positive meeting, we are as keen as you are to mend the relationship, look forward to working with you in the future

Cheers

Peter



From: Van Breda, Richard [mailto:Richard.VanBreda@stanwell.com]

Sent: Friday, 16 September 2016 12:19 PM

To: Peter Simpson (ETU) <simmo@etu.org.au>

Subject: Stanwell Use of Contractors

Importance: High

Dear Peter

Jason met with [redacted] Schedule 4 CTPI earlier today. I believe it was a constructive meeting.

Stanwell wants to work more closely with the ETU to make sure we understand your concerns and to make sure that we look after our mutual people. I understand the importance of a constructive working relationship.

I want to assure you that we recognise the importance of the legally binding enterprise agreement that we have and that we will abide with all terms of that agreement and specifically the "no less than aggregate" provision in the use of contractors clause.

I look forward to working more closely with the ETU.

Regards

Richard

Richard Van Breda
Chief Executive Officer



direct +61 7 3228 4117
Level 13, 42 Albert Street, Brisbane
GPO Box 800, Brisbane, QLD 4001 stanwell.com

This email is to be read subject to the email disclaimer located at <http://www.stanwell.com/site-information/email-disclaimer/>